

					<p>allocation of land for extensive agriculture and intensive plant agriculture AND appropriateness of all MLS - after the LEP completed. This would be in accordance with 117 Directions and SEPP (Rural Lands) 2008.</p> <p>2. No objection to revising map information.</p> <p>3. Dept. supportive of intention to prepare 'rural land use study'</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Timing of study not specified, and assume this is to commence after making of LEP 		<p>Not relevant - No action required.</p>	<p>Planning Unit to check the final Heritage list to ensure those Council resolved to exclude were excluded</p>
<p>8</p> <p>Bill Wheeldon</p>	<p>23/3/2011</p>	<p>1. Objects to his property at Lot 2 DP 759074 (48-50) Darling Street, Wentworth being listed in the Heritage list.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Objection based on new shop front and other internal modifications (unspecified). Council has not considered heritage registration criteria. 	<p>1. Heritage listing is local, not state.</p> <p>2. Identified as noteworthy for inclusion in the 1989 survey document.</p> <p>3. Listing to remain.</p>	<p>Recommendation: That; Council receives and notes the submission.</p>	<p>Lot 2 DP759074 (48-50) Darling St, Wentworth be removed from the LEP.</p>		<p>Stated property description is not listed in the draft LEP as a proposed heritage item. Please clarify.</p>	<p>Planning Unit to check the final Heritage list to ensure those Council resolved to exclude were excluded</p>
<p>9</p> <p>Murray-Darling Basin Authority</p>	<p>24/3/2011</p>	<p>1. Objecting to listing of the Noola homestead and the Nulla Woolshed and homestead site (item numbers 178, 184 and 185).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> MDBA's core responsibilities reside with the management of the River Murray's water resources. Expenditure on maintenance of heritage listed items outside the river environs is not supported 	<p>1. Council identified items and areas of both significant Aboriginal and European heritage and it is recommended that the items remain to ensure European cultural heritage is not compromised or lost.</p>	<p>Recommendation: That; Council receives and notes the submission.</p>	<p>Noted</p>		<p>It is noted that these items are retained as heritage items.</p>	<p>Planning Unit to check the final Heritage list to ensure those Council resolved to exclude were excluded</p>

27 01

<p>3. Clause 4.2B provides that consent cannot be given for a dwelling unless the lot complies with the Lot Size Map, or had existing development rights.</p> <p>4. Clause 4.2C allows for erection of rural workers dwelling subject to specific criteria being satisfied.</p> <p>5. Clause 4.2D allows erection of a dwelling subject criteria being satisfied</p> <p>6. Scenario provided to show how the above clauses work. 130ha of vineyard subdivided from the balance of property (being pastoral land, area of balance not supplied). Given the size of the vineyard, permanent plantings and remote location, it is reasonable expectations that approval to accommodate the owner/manager.</p> <p>Clause 4.2 denies approval for a dwelling</p> <p>Clause 4.2B denies approval for a dwelling</p> <p>Clause 4.2C enables approval for a workers dwelling, provided it is on the same allotment as an existing approved dwelling</p> <p>Clause 4.2D enables approval for a dwelling</p>	<p>(4.2B) Correct, however clause to be added to allow application for rural dwelling if an allotment of 10ha is planted</p> <p>(4.2C) Correct, subject to the objectives being achieved</p> <p>(4.2D) Correct, subject to the objectives being achieved</p>	<p>minimum lot size maps if the land use is intensive plant agriculture on an allotment not less than 10ha.</p>			<p>without considering cleared lands that could be planted to horticulture</p> <p>CLAUSE 4.2 IS NOT A CONVERSION OF PREVIOUS RURAL DWELLING PROVISIONS – IT IS A SUBSTANTIALLY NEW CLAUSE</p>
<p>P & L Cohrs</p>	<p>At 2.19pm moved Councillor King and seconded Councillor Wheelodon that a submission by Paul & Leanne Cohrs (Nampoo Station) be admitted for consideration in accordance with Clause 18(3) of the Code of Meeting Practice because of the need to consider the item in relation to the draft Wentworth Local Environmental Plan 2011. (WSC Minutes 20/4/11 page 64)</p>	<p>That the homesteads on Nampoo Station be removed from the draft Wentworth Local Environment Plan 2011.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Assume this relates to proposed heritage listing of Nampoo Homestead (177). Reason for removal from proposed heritage list required. 	<p>Outcomes of this are noted and will be addressed in policy terms as appropriate within the brief for the RLUS.</p> <p>Planning Unit to check the final Heritage list to ensure those Council resolved to exclude were excluded</p>		
	Overall Recommendation	1	See Folio 7 and 35(3)		

